Original Article

Nursing Students' Self-Efficacy Levels in Clinical Performance and their Perceptions of the Nursing Process

Hakime Aslan, PhD, RN

Associate Professor, Department of Fundamental of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey

Feride Kaplan, MSc, RN

Lecturer, Department of Medical Services and Techniques, Goksun Vocational School, Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, Kahramanmaras, Turkey

Hanife Celik, MSc, RN

Lecturer, Disabled Care and Rehabilitation Program, Vocational School of Health Services, Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey

Correspondence: Feride Kaplan, RN, Lecturer, Department of Medical Services and Techniques, Goksun Vocational School, Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, Kahramanmaras, Turkey. Email: tuzun4646de@hotmail.com

Abstract

Objective: This study was conducted to evaluate nursing students' self-efficacy levels in clinical performance and their perceptions of the nursing process.

Methods: The study was of cross-sectional type. The population of the research consists of nursing students studying at Inonu University. The sample consisted of 324 students determined by power analysis. In order to collect data, "Socio- Demographic Information Form" and "Self-Efficacy Scale in Clinical Performance" and "Perceptions of Nursing Process Questionnaire" created by the researchers were used. Data were collected online between June and July 2022.

Results: It was determined that the average age of the students participating in the study was 20.5 ± 1.7 years, 65.1% were female, and 34.6% were in first grade. It was determined that the students got a total of 73.9 ± 17.3 points from the self-efficacy scale in clinical performance, 75.1 ± 17.3 points from the data collection sub-scale, 71.7 ± 18.2 points from the diagnosis and planning sub-scale, 75.0 ± 18.8 points from the practice sub-scale and 73.2 ± 19.4 points from the evaluation sub-scale. It was determined that 97.5% of the students should use the nursing process while giving care to healthy/sick individuals, but 41% of them had problems while using the nursing process.

Conclusion: It was determined that the students' self-efficacy levels in clinical performance and their perceptions of the nursing process were at a good level.

Keywords: Clinical performance, Nursing process, Self-efficacy, Student

Introduction

Nursing is a professional profession that combines theoretical and practical education (Pozam & Zaybak, 2022). In order for nurses to fully fulfill the duties and powers of the nursing profession, it is very important that they receive practical education as well as theoretical knowledge during the education and training period (Kim & Suh, 2018). The theoretical and practical education received by nursing students during the education and training period should be reinforced with

clinical education and used in clinical education (Denat, 2008; Vatansever & Mert, 2017). Clinical education enables students to gain knowledge, skills and attitudes towards professional practices in cognitive, affective and psychomotor dimensions (Nabolsi et al., 2012; Tasdelen & Zaybak, 2013). In addition, it provides the student with the opportunity to work with a team, observe the behaviors and practices of the members of this team, provide care by taking responsibility for patients, evaluate the clinical status of the patient and

make decisions, perform applications in a real environment, and communicate with both patients and team members (Calıskan & Akgoz, 2005; Bayar et al., 2009). In this context, clinical education is very important for nursing students and its importance in nursing curricula is increasing day by day (Denat, 2008). In the clinical education process, it is very important to evaluate the clinical performance of students, and it is thought that self-efficacy levels affect the clinical performance of students (Pozam & Zaybak, 2022).

Self-efficacy is defined as an individual's belief and confidence that he/she can successfully achieve the goals he/she has set in a particular subject(Bandura, 1997). The concept of self-efficacy is in line with social cognitive theory, which emphasizes social influence, social empowerment and the ability to adapt to change (Bandura, 1977). According to Bandura (1994), self-efficacy is very important in educational settings because these settings are ideal for developing selfefficacy. Students' beliefs about their ability to perform when given certain levels of academic tasks develop their self-efficacy in academic settings (Bandura, 1994). Robb, who analyzed the concept of self-efficacy, stated that self-efficacy is a cognitive variable that affects performance behaviors and affective processes (Robb, 2012). Studies have shown that self-efficacy improves nursing students' self-esteem, management, adjustment, self-control, and is the strongest predictor of professional identity (Bandura, 1977; Kamali et al., 2023; Kuiper & Pesut, 2004; Mei et al., 2022). In addition, it has been revealed that there is a link between perceived self-efficacy and the acquisition of clinical skills (Wagner et al., 2009; Lane et al., 2004). Students with high clinical self-efficacy should be able to actively use the nursing process that enables them to transfer theoretical knowledge to practice, determine the needs of the patient, and provide one-to-one, quality, systematic care to the patients whose needs are determined (Yilmaz et al., 2019; Tokyildiz & Yildirim, 2021).

The nursing process is the methodology of nursing practice and a scientific method of applying nursing principles in patient care (Brooker & Wough, 2007). The process

consists of determining the needs of the healthy/patient individual, planning and implementing interventions determined needs, and evaluating the results (Kaya, 2014; Brooker & Wough, 2007). The nursing process ensures that care is provided with a systematic, high quality, individualized and holistic approach, that the nurse performs her/his practices in a systematically organized manner, that nurses and/or nursing students develop critical thinking and independent decision-making skills, and that they develop nursing knowledge, application skills and the ability to monitor and evaluate patient outcomes (Avsar, 2014; Ozdemir, 2016). Within the framework of the nursing process, students should use their knowledge, decision-making and clinical reasoning skills to determine patient care needs and potential complications (Olmaz & Karakurt, 2019; Cheraghi et al., 2009). Nursing students are required to use the nursing process during the education period and to have developed competencies for the nursing process in order to provide quality care to healthy-patient individuals after graduation. In addition, they are expected to have well-developed clinical performances that have developed basic nursing skills, the ability to function competently in emergency clinical situations, and the ability to use appropriate knowledge, skills, and judgment (Chio et al., 2019). In this context, it is thought that students' clinical performance is affected by their perceptions of the nursing process as well as their selfefficacy levels. Accordingly, this study was conducted to evaluate nursing students' selfefficacy levels in clinical performance and their perceptions of the nursing process.

Method

The research is cross-sectional type. Nursing students studying at İnönü University constitute the population of the study (1200). The sample consisted of 324 students (95% confidence interval [CI], α =0.05, Power (1- β err prob) = 0.95, d=0.5) determined by power analysis. Students in all grades were included in the study. Data were collected online between June-July 2022 through the survey link created from Google forms. The online link created for data collection was shared in class WhatsApp groups. Data collection continued until the sample size was reached. In order to collect data, "Socio-Demographic

Information Form" and "Self-Efficacy Scale in Clinical Performance" created by the researchers and a questionnaire form created in line with the literature to evaluate "Perceptions of the Nursing Process" were used (Yilmaz et al., 2019; Ozdemir, 2016; Olmaz & Karakurt, 2019; Cheraghi et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2019).

Self-Efficacy in Clinical Performance Scale (SECP): It was developed by Cheraghi et al. (2009) to determine nursing students' selfefficacy perceptions regarding their clinical performance (Cheraghi et al., 2009). A validity-reliability Turkish study conducted by Pozam and Zaybak (Pozam & Zaybak, 2016). The evaluation of the total and sub-dimension scores of the scale is based on item mean scores. The scale does not have any cut-off point. The lowest item score average that can be obtained from the scale is 0 and the highest item score average is 100. A high score on the scale indicates a high level of self-efficacy for clinical performance. In the validity and reliability study of the Turkish version of the scale, which has four subdimensions: data collection (first 12 items), diagnosis and planning (items 13-21), implementation (items 22-31) and evaluation (items 32-37), the Cronbach's coefficient of the SECP was found to be .98 in the total scale, and in this study, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the SECP was calculated as .97 in the total scale.

Perceptions of the Nursing Process Questionnaire: This form was prepared by the researchers in line with the literature. Eight multiple-choice questions were created for the nursing process. Expert opinion was obtained from 6 faculty members (4 in the field of nursing principles and 2 in the field of internal medicine nursing) to evaluate whether the questions were appropriate for the subject to be measured. The experts were asked to evaluate whether each question measured the perceptions about the nursing process and the comprehensibility of the items on an indicator between 1 and 4 points. On this indicator, 1 was scored as "not appropriate" and 4 as "very appropriate". The compatibility level of expert opinions was analyzed by Kendall W analysis. It was observed that the scores given by the experts were not statistically different (Kendall W=0.404; p=0.520) and there was agreement between the experts. Suggested corrections

were made in line with the expert opinion and used for data collection. The questions in this form evaluate the problems and perceptions of the students about using the nursing process.

Evaluation of the Data: The data were evaluated in SPSS 22 program. Number, percentage, mean, Kruskal Wallis H test, Mann Whitney U test and t test in independent groups were used in the comparison of scale mean scores according to descriptive characteristics. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was used to evaluate the internal consistency of the scales. Kendall W analysis was performed to evaluate the agreement between expert opinions. The results were evaluated at 95% confidence interval and significance at p<0.05 level.

Ethical Principles of the Study: Before starting the study, ethics committee permission (E-33117789/044-63434) was obtained from Bingol University Scientific Research Ethics Committee and institutional permission was obtained from Inonu University Faculty of Nursing. Necessary information about the research was given in the data collection form and informed consent was obtained through an online form from the students who agreed to participate in the study. The principles of the Declaration of Helsinki were followed in the study.

Results

Information about the demographic characteristics of the students participating in the study is given in Table 1. Accordingly, the mean age of the students was 20.5 ± 1.79 years, 65.1% were female, 34.9% were in the first grade, 50% had a GPA between 3.00-3.50, 79.9% were successful in vocational courses and 34.3% practiced in internal clinics during the data collection process.

It was determined that the students scored a total of 73.9±17.3 points from the clinical performance self-efficacy scale, 75.1±17.3 points from the data collection subscale, 71.7±18.2 points from the diagnosis and planning subscale, 75.0±18.8 points from the implementation subscale and 73.2±19.4 points from the evaluation subscale. It was observed that students' self-efficacy levels in clinical performance were above average. The highest performance was in the data collection subscale and the lowest performance was in the diagnosis and planning subscale (Table 2).

The comparison of the socio-demographic characteristics of the students and the mean score of the SECP is given in Table 3. It was determined that there was no significant difference between the gender of the students and the subscales of the SECP and data collection. planning, diagnosis, evaluation, and that their self-efficacy levels in clinical performance were similar. However, it was determined that gender made a significant difference in the application subdimension and the mean score of female students was significantly higher than male students. It was determined that there was a significant difference between the grades of the students and the total and data collection, planning, diagnosis, implementation and evaluation sub-dimensions of the SECP and that the self-efficacy levels of the first grade students were significantly lower than the other grades. In the further analysis, it was determined that the difference was due to the difference between the 1st and 3rd grades. It was determined that there was no significant difference between the variables of grade point average, success in vocational courses and the clinic in which the practice was performed and the subscales of the SECP and its sub-dimensions (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the students' perceptions of the nursing process. Accordingly, the majority of the students (97.5%) reported that the nursing process should be used while caring for healthy/patient individuals, but 41% of them had problems using the nursing process and the majority (32.7%) had difficulties in the diagnostic phase. It was determined that the students mostly (35.5%) benefited from the internet and books (handbooks on the nursing process) while using the nursing process, 49.7% of them sometimes received training on the nursing process in the clinic where they practiced, but these trainings/studies were perceived as partially sufficient. When we look at the students' suggestions for increasing their competencies regarding the nursing process in the clinical environment, it was determined that they mostly wanted to increase the support of nurses to students and nurses to apply the nursing process completely and correctly. When we looked at the students' suggestions for increasing their competencies for the nursing process during the education period, it was determined that

they mostly wanted case presentations and discussions to be made, care plans to be evaluated together with the student, and more time to be allocated to the nursing process in the curriculum programs (Table 4).

Discussion

Nursing students are expected to have the necessary knowledge and skills to fulfill all the duties of the nursing profession after graduation. This requires graduated nurses to have gained competence in their field and to have high self-efficacy as a result of nursing education (Choi et al., 2019). In this context, it is very important to evaluate students' clinical self-efficacy and to take measures to improve it. In this study, nursing students' self-efficacy levels in clinical performance and their perceptions of the nursing process were discussed. According to the results of the study, it was determined that students' selfefficacy levels in clinical performance were high and 73% of them considered themselves sufficient.

A limited number of studies evaluating nursing students' self-efficacy levels in clinical performance were found in the literature. In the study conducted by Pozam and Zaybak (2022) with nursing students, it was determined that the self-efficacy levels of the students were high and 80% of them found themselves sufficient. Again, in the study conducted by Pozam and Zaybak on the validity and reliability of the self-efficacy scale in clinical performance, it was determined that the self-efficacy levels of the students were high (Pozam & Zaybak, 2016). In the study conducted by Okuroglu, it was found that the mean score of the students on the SECP was 66.56 and their self-efficacy was at a moderate level.28 It was determined that the results obtained in the studies did not differ much, the students considered themselves to be sufficient at the moderate level and above, and this study also supported the literature. It is thought that the implementation of the standards of NNCEP (Nursing National Core Education Program), which determines the minimum standards of nursing education in universities in Turkey, and the spread of accreditation programs in nursing are effective in improving the selfefficacy levels of students by increasing the quality of nursing education.

It was determined that the students scored above the average in the data collection, diagnosis and planning, implementation and evaluation subscales of the self-efficacy in clinical performance scale.

In this study, students received the lowest score from the diagnosis-planning subscale and the highest score from the data collection subscale. When the literature was examined, in the study conducted by Pozam and Zaybak similar to the findings of our study, students scored the lowest on the diagnosis-planning highest subscale and the on implementation subscale (Pozam & Zaybak, 2022). In a study conducted by Şendir et al. with senior nursing students, it was stated that students had the most difficulty determining the intervention to be applied to patient in the diagnostic implementation stages of the nursing process. They stated that they performed the evaluation stage more easily (Sendir et al., 2009). In the study conducted by Yilmaz et al., (2015), it was found that the majority of nursing students thought that the nursing process was necessary for the quality of care and that they did not have problems using the stages of the process. In this study, it was determined that students had the most difficulty in the diagnosis - planning stage and their self-efficacy was low in this area. Among the main objectives of the nursing profession, it is very important to teach nursing diagnostic systems in the education process and to develop their self-efficacy in this regard in terms of establishing a common language specific to the profession and expanding its use. In this study, students received the lowest score from the diagnosisplanning subscale and the highest score from the data collection subscale. When the literature was examined, in the study conducted by Pozam and Zaybak similar to the findings of our study, students scored the lowest on the diagnosis-planning subscale and the highest on the implementation subscale (Pozam & Zaybak, 2022). In a study conducted by Sendir et al. with senior nursing students, it was stated that students had the difficulty in determining intervention to be applied to the patient in the diagnostic and implementation stages of the nursing process. They stated that they performed the evaluation stage more easily

(Sendir et al., 2009). In the study conducted by Yilmaz et al., (2015), it was found that the majority of nursing students thought that the nursing process was necessary for the quality of care and that they did not have problems using the stages of the process. In this study, it was determined that students had the most difficulty in the diagnosis - planning stage and their self-efficacy was low in this area. Among the main objectives of the nursing profession, it is very important to teach nursing diagnostic systems in the education process and to develop their self-efficacy in this regard in terms of establishing a common language specific to the profession and expanding its use. In this study, there was no significant difference between students' perception of self-efficacy in clinical performance and their gender, academic grade point average, success status in vocational courses, and clinical practice variables. It was determined that the self-efficacy levels of the students were at a similar level. However, it was observed that there was a significant difference between the class of the students and the SECP and that the 3rd grade students constituted this difference. In a study conducted with nursing students, Pozam and Zaybak reported that there was a significant difference between students' self-efficacy levels in clinical performance according to the class variable and that the self-efficacy levels of 4th grade students were the highest (Pozam & Zaybak, 2022). In this study, it was determined that the self-efficacy levels of third and fourth grade students were higher than those of students in other grades. It was determined that the self-efficacy of students increased with the development of their education level and application skills. It is an expected result that the self-efficacy levels of students at the beginning of the education process are low and the result of the study supports the literature. The nursing process has many benefits for the individual receiving care, the nurse and the nursing student. The nursing process used correctly facilitates solving the patient's problems and provides holistic care to patients (Yilmaz et al., 2015). In this study, it was determined that nursing students' perceptions of the nursing process were generally at a good level. In the study, almost all of the students (97.5%) stated that the nursing process should be used while providing care.

| Table 1. Frequency distribution of students' socio-demographic characteristics Variable | Group | Number | Percent |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|---------|
| Age 20.5±1.79 | | | |
| Gender | Women | 211 | 65.1 |
| Centre | Male | 113 | 34.9 |
| | 1 | 112 | 34.6 |
| Class | 2 | 88 | 27.2 |
| | 3 | 65 | 20.1 |
| | 4 | 59 | 18.2 |
| | 1.49-2.00 | 11 | 3.4 |
| | 2.01-2.50 | 22 | 6.8 |
| Grade point average | 2.51-3.00 | 108 | 33.3 |
| | 3.01-3.50 | 162 | 50.0 |
| | 3.51-4.00 | 21 | 6.5 |
| | Fail one course | 49 | 15.1 |
| Success | Failed more than one course | 16 | 4.9 |
| | Successful | 259 | 79.9 |
| | Internal clinic | 111 | 34.3 |
| | Surgical clinic | 134 | 41.4 |
| Clinical internship in the last practicum | Pediatric clinic | 47 | 14.5 |
| pi acticum | Intensive care unit | 20 | 6.2 |
| | Emergency service | 12 | 3.7 |

Table 2. Mean Scores of Self-Efficacy Scale in Clinical Performance

| Scale | Min-Max | X±SD |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Data collection | 12.50-100.0 | 75.18±17.38 |
| Planning-diagnosis | 11.11-100.0 | 71.70±18.22 |
| Application | 14.00-100.0 | 75.01±18.80 |
| Evaluation | 10.00-100.0 | 73.24±19.47 |
| SECP total | 12.97-100.0 | 73.97±17.38 |

Table 3. Comparison of Socio-Demographic Characteristics and the Mean Score of SECP

| | | | Data | Planning | Application | Evaluation | Total SECP |
|----------|-------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | Collection | Diagnostics | X±SD | X±SD | X±SD |
| Variable | | Number | X±SD | X±SD | Testand | Test and | Test and |
| | | | Test and | Test and | Significance | Significance | Significance |
| | | | Significance | Significance | : | | |
| | Women | 211 | 75.8±16.9 | 72.6±18.1 | 76.5±18.7 | 74.4±18.9 | 75.0±17.0 |
| Gender | Male | 113 | 73.9±18.2 | 70.0±18.2 | 72.1±18.6 | 71.0±20.2 | 72.0±17.8 |
| - | | | t:.958 | t:.1.216 | t:2.030 | t:1.517 | t:1.489 |
| | | | p=.339 | p=.225 | p=.043* | p=.130 | p=.138 |
| | 1 | 112 | 73.0±18.2 | 68.9±17.6 | 72.7±19.4 | 71.0±18.7 | 71.3±17.7 |
| - | 2 | 88 | 74.7±15.3 | 72.9±17.0 | 74.3±17.7 | 74.4±19.6 | 75.3±16.2 |
| - | 3 | 65 | 79.3±17.8 | 76.8±19.3 | 77.7±19.5 | 79.0±18.8 | 78.2±18.1 |
| Class | 4 | 59 | 78.7±17.4 | 76.0±19.0 | 77.8±18.3 | 78.2±20.4 | 77.9±17.0 |
| - | | | KW:9.557 | KW:10.94 | KW:4.250 | KW:9.312 | KW:8.801 |
| | | | p=.023* | p=.012* | p=.036* | p=.025* | p=.032* |

| | 1.49-2.00 | 11 | 74.5±15.8 | 69.3±12.6 | 72.0±13.4 | 68.3±18.5 | 71.2±13.5 |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2.01-2.50 | 22 | 73.0±17.6 | 70.3±18.8 | 73.8±21.1 | 71.9±21.3 | 72.4±19.5 |
| | 2.51-3.00 | 108 | 77.5±17.2 | 73.9±17.4 | 76.8±17.4 | 75.2±17.8 | 76.3±16.4 |
| | 3.01-3.50 | 162 | 76.9±17.3 | 74.1±18.3 | 76.3±19.3 | 76.3±20.1 | 75.5±17.5 |
| | 3.51-4.00 | 21 | 77.7±19.4 | 74.7±22.1 | 77.1±21.8 | 77.4±20.3 | 77.4±20.3 |
| Grade point | | | KW:4.456 | KW:6.508 | KW:5.790 | KW:4.832 | KW:5.052 |
| average | | | p=.348 | p=.164 | p=.215 | p=.305 | p=.282 |
| | Fail one | 49 | 73.0±20.6 | 68.7±19.6 | 71.1±21.1 | 69.8±21.0 | 71.4±19.7 |
| | course | | | | | | |
| Success in | Failed more | 16 | 70.5±13.2 | 70.1±12.1 | 69.9±13.2 | 70.5±16.2 | 69.5±14.5 |
| vocational | than one | | | | | | |
| courses | course | | | | | | |
| courses | Successful | 259 | 75.5±16.9 | 73.5±18.2 | 73.2±18.6 | 73.8±19.3 | 75.5±16.9 |
| | | | KW:.272 | KW:2.260 | KW:.141 | KW:1.768 | KW:.755 |
| | | | p=.873 | p=.323 | p=.932 | p=.413 | p=.686 |
| | Internal clinic | 111 | 76.6±15.6 | 73.3±16.6 | 76.7±16.5 | 73.8±17.7 | 75.4±15.2 |
| Clinical | | | | | | | |
| internship | Surgical | 134 | 73.2±17.4 | 69.7±17.7 | 73.6±19.4 | 71.8±20.1 | 72.2±17.7 |
| in the last | clinic | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| practicum course | Pediatric clinic | 47 | 76.8±21.9 | 73.2±23.8 | 73.3±23.1 | 75.1±22.9 | 74.7±22.1 |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Intensive care unit | 20 | 75.2±14.2 | 71.3±16.7 | 75.0±17.6 | 74.5±16.9 | 74.1±15.6 |
| | Emergency serv | ice 12 | 76.6±15.6 | 72.7±14.6 | 81.1±14.3 | 74.0±17.2 | 76.5±14.7 |
| | | | KW:5.650 | KW:5.459 | KW:2.032 | KW:2.052 | KW:3.077 |
| | | | p=.227 | p=.243 | p=.730 | p=.726 | p=.545 |

X: Mean, SD: Standard Deviation, *p<0.05, t: Independent sample t test, KW: Kruskal-Wallis test, F:Tukey HSD

Table 4. Data on Students' Perceptions of the Nursing Process

| Variable | Group | Number | Percentage |
|--|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Do you think that the nursing | Yes | 316 | 97.5 |
| process should be used when | No | 8 | 2.5 |
| caring for a healthy/patient | | | |
| individual? | | | |
| Do you experience difficulties | Yes | 133 | 41.0 |
| in using the nursing process? | No | 191 | 59.0 |
| | Data collection | 81 | 25.0 |
| At which stage do you experience | Diagnostics | 106 | 32.7 |
| difficulties in using the nursing | Planning | 32 | 9.9 |
| process? | Application | 68 | 21.0 |
| | Evaluation | 37 | 11.4 |
| When using the nursing process | Internet Books | 115 | 35.5 |
| Clinic nurse What are your sources of | Lecture notes | 79 | 24.4 |
| support? | Teaching staff | 68 | 21.0 |
| Do you receive training on the | Yes | 118 | 36.4 |
| nursing process in the clinics | Sometimes | 161 | 49.7 |
| where you practice? | No | 45 | 13.9 |
| Do you find the studies that will | | | |
| increase your competence | Yes | 116 | 35.8 |
| regarding the nursing process in | Sometimes | 168 | 51.9 |
| the clinics where you practice sufficient? | No. | 40 | 12.3 |

| What would be your suggestions to | • Increasing the support of nurses to | 93 | 28.7 |
|---|--|----|------|
| improve your knowledge and skill | student nurses | | |
| level regarding the nursing process in the clinic where you practice? | Nurses also implement the nursing process completely and correctly | 44 | 13.6 |
| | Increasing the number of nurses per patient | 25 | 7.7 |
| | Providing resources to clinics for the nursing process | 22 | 6.8 |
| | Reducing the number of students practicing in clinics | 22 | 6.8 |
| | Case presentation and discussions | 69 | 21.3 |
| What would be your suggestions to improve your knowledge and skill | • Evaluation of care plans together with the student | 33 | 10.2 |
| level regarding the nursing process during your education period? | Allocating more time for the nursing process in curriculum | | |
| | programs • Using active learning methods | 33 | 10.2 |
| | (such as web-based teaching, concept mapping) | 27 | 8.3 |
| | • Separate nursing process course | 17 | 5.2 |
| | • Increasing the number of resources in the school library | 12 | 3.7 |
| | Receiving mentorship or peer support | 10 | 3.1 |

^{*} More than one answer was given.

Discussion cont.

When the literature was examined, it was determined that the majority of nursing students and nurses had positive perceptions about the use of the nursing process (Yilmaz et al., 2015; Karadakovan & Yesilbakan, 2004). This study supports the literature and almost all of the students had positive perceptions that the process should be used. However, it was determined that 41% of the students had problems while using the nursing process and had the most difficulty in making

a nursing diagnosis. In the study conducted by Yilmaz et al., students stated that the reasons for experiencing difficulties in the nursing process were that patients did not give appropriate answers to the questions at the data collection stage of the nursing process, having difficulty or embarrassment in asking the relevant questions to the patient, and lack of information in the diagnosis process. Keski and Karadag stated that the majority of nursing students had difficulty in using the nursing process. The process stage in which students had the least difficulty was the

evaluation stage(Keski & Karadag, 2010). In the study conducted by Karadakovan and Yesilbalkan, it was determined that students were inadequate in choosing interventions for nursing diagnoses (Karadakovan & Yesilbakan, 2004). As determined in the studies in the literature, it was observed that students mostly had difficulty in using the nursing process, and the steps with difficulty could vary. These changes are thought to be due to the individual differences of the students and the fact that these studies were conducted in educational institutions in different regions of Turkey.

In this study, it was determined that when students wanted to get help and support while using the nursing process, they mostly applied to online search engines and least to instructors. Although the internet provides a wide range of resources, it is very important for student development to increase the support of nurses and clinical educators with whom they interact one-on-one. It was also observed that students' expectations from educators were in this direction. The evaluation of the care plans together with the educator and their request for the nursing process course to be included in the curriculum as a separate course prove this. In addition, it was found that the majority of the students suggested that the support of the nurses to the student nurses in the clinic where they practiced should be increased and case presentations and discussions should be made in the education in order to improve the nursing process.

Conclusion: According to the results of this study, it was determined that the self-efficacy level of the students in clinical performance was high, the class was a variable affecting the self-efficacy level, and the variables of gender, graduated school, grade point average, success status in vocational courses and the clinic where the practice was performed did not affect self-efficacy. It was also determined that students' perceptions of the nursing process were positive and almost all students agreed with the necessity of using it. Students who had problems using the nursing process had lower self-efficacy. According to these results, it can be recommended to increase clinical school cooperation in terms of improving students' self-efficacy, to guide nurses to guide students

in using the nursing process, to add the nursing process course to the nursing education curriculum and to facilitate its teaching by using active learning methods.

References

- Avsar G. (2014). Evaluation of nursing process practices used by nurses in patient care. *Anatolian Journal of Nursing and Health Sciences*, 17(4):216-221.
- Bandura A. (1994). Regulative Function of Perceived Self-Efficacy. *Lawrence Erlbaum Associates*.
- Bandura A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. Freeman and Company, New York
- Bandura A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol. Rev.* 84:191.
- Bayar K, Cadir G, Bayar B. (2009). Determination of nursing students' thinking and anxiety levels towards clinical practice. *TAF Preventive Medicine Bulletin*, 8(1):37-42.
- Brooker C, Wough A. (2007). A: Fundamental of Holistic Care. Mosby Elsevier Co., Edinburgh.
- Cheraghi F, Hassani P, Yaghmaei F, Alavi-Majed H. (2009). Developing a valid and reliable selfi efficacy in clinical performance scale. *Int Nurs Rev*, 56(2): 214-221.
- Choi GH, Hong M, Kwon S. (2019). Factors Influencing Confidence in Performing Fundamental Nursing Skills of Nursing Students: Focused on Professionalism and Self-efficacy. Journal of Korean Academy of Fundamentals of Nursing. *Public Health Nurs*
- Caliskan T, Akgoz S. (2005). The contribution of in-year and summer internships in preparing health school students for professional life. *Journal of Uludag University Faculty of Medicine*, 31(1):9-13.
- Denat Y. (2008). The effect of initial clinical practice on perceiving the nursing profession. *Ege University Journal of Faculty of Nursing*, 24(1):15-26.
- Kamali M, Hasanvand S, Kordestani-Moghadam P, Ebrahimzadeh F, Amini M. (2023). Impact of dyadic practice on the clinical self-efficacy and empathy of nursing students. *BMC Nurs*, 22(1):8.
- Karadakovan A, Yesilbakan OU. (2004). Examination of NANDA nursing diagnoses detected by students in neurological patients. Anatolian Journal of Nursing and Health Sciences, 7(3).

- Karahan Okuroglu G. (2022). The Relationship Between Nursing Students' Self-Efficacy Regarding Clinical Performance and Their Academic Self-Efficacy and Academic Achievement: A Descriptive Study. *Turkiye* Klinikleri Journal of Nursing Sciences, 14(1):125-31
- Kaya N. (2004). Correlation of NANDA nursing diagnoses, outcomes of nursing care (NOC) and nursing interventions (NIC) classification systems. *Istanbul University FNHYO Journal of Nursing*, 13:121-132.
- Kaya N, Babadag K, Kacar GY, Uygur E. (2010). Nurses' knowledge and application of nursing models/theories, nursing process and classification systems. *Maltepe University Journal of Nursing Science and Art*, 3(3):24-33.
- Keski C, Karadag A. (2010). Examination of the Levels of Nursing Senior Students about the Nursing Process. *Journal of Research* and Development in Nursing, 12(1):41-52.
- Kim H, Suh EE. (2018). The effects of an interactive nursing skills mobile application on nursing students' knowledge, self-efficacy, and skills performance: A randomized controlled trial. *Asian Nurs Res.* 12(1):17-25.
- Kuiper RA, Pesut DJ. (2004). Promoting cognitive and metacognitive reflective reasoning skills in nursing practice: self-regulated learning theory. *J Dr Nurs Pract*, 45(4):381–391.
- Lane J, Lane AM, Kyprianou A. (2004). Self-efficacy, self-esteem and their impact on academic performance. Social Behaviour and Personality, 32:247-256
- Mei X, Wang H, Wu XN, Wu JY, Lu YZ, Ye ZJ. (2022). Self-efficacy and professional identity among freshmen nursing students: a latent profile and moderated mediation analysis. Front Psychol, 1(8):13.
- Nabolsi M, Zumot A, Wardam L, Abu-Moghli F. (2012). The experience of Jordanian nursing students in their clinical practice. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci*, 46: 5849-5857.
- Olmaz D, Karakurt P. (2019). Nurses' ability to know and practice the nursing process while giving care. *Dokuz Eylul University Electronic Journal of Faculty of Nursing*, 12(1):3-14.
- Ozdemir H. (2016). Examination of the difficulties experienced by nurses in the implementation of the nursing process. *Anatolian Journal of Nursing and Health Sciences*, 19(4):269-277.

- Pozam M, Zaybak, A. (2022). Examination of nursing students' self-efficacy in relation to their clinical performance. *Dokuz Eylul University Electronic Journal of Faculty of Nursing*, 15(1):22-29.
- Pozam M, Zaybak A. (2016). Adaptation of the self-efficacy scale in clinical performance to Turkish and examination of its psychometric properties. *Ege University Journal of Faculty of Nursing*, 32(3):100-17.
- Robb M. (2012). Self-efficacy with application to nursing education: A concept analysis. *In Nursing Forum. Malden, USA: Blackwell Publishing Inc*, 47(3):166-172.
- Sendir M, Acaroglu R, Aktas A. (2009). Information and opinions of senior nursing students about the nursing process. *Florence Nightingale J Nurs*, 17(3):166-173.
- Tasdelen S, Zaybak A. (2013). Examination of the stress levels of nursing students during their first clinical experience. *Florence Nightingale Journal of Nursing*, 21(2):101-106.
- Tok Yildiz F, Yildirim D. (2021). "Nursing Process". In: Kara Kaşıkçı M. and Akin E. (Eds). Basic Nursing Principles, Concepts, Principles, Practices. *Istanbul: Istanbul Medical Health and Publishing*. 65-82.
- Vatansever N, Mert K. (2017). The place and importance of clinical education in nursing. *Kocaeli University Journal of Health Sciences*, *3*(1):33-36.
- Wagner D, Bear M, Sander J. (2009). Turning simulation into reality: increasing student competence and confidence. *J Educ Res Nurs*, 48(8):465–467.
- Yilmaz AA, Gencer E, Seckin C, Akyuz H, Guven KT, Gozutok S. (2019). Nursing students' views on the data collection phase of the nursing process and the difficulties they experience. *Anatolian Journal of Nursing and Health Sciences*, 22(4):231-238. DOI: 10.17049/ataunihem.439074.
- Yilmaz FT, Sabanciogullari S, Aldemir K. (2015). The opinions of nursing students regarding the nursing process and their levels of proficiency in Turkey. *J Caring Sci*, 4(4):265.